
of anthraquinones in the reaction of 7 with alkoxy and methyl benzaldehydes (entries 2-4, 8) points to complications due to competitive metalation directed by $\mathrm{CONEt}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ groups and steric effects in the cyclization, $\mathbf{3 \rightarrow 4}$. The aerial oxidation step, $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow 5}$, is well precedented. ${ }^{26,27}$

Outside of the specific application presented here, the concept of tandem directed metalation may have broader significance in organic synthesis. ${ }^{28}$
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## Determination of Rotational Correlation Time from Perturbed Angular Correlations of $\gamma$ Rays: Apomyoglobin Reconstituted with ${ }^{111}$ Indium(III) Mesoprotoporphyrin IX ${ }^{1}$

## Sir:

Currently popular methods for determining macromolecular rotational correlation time, $\tau_{\text {rot }}$, each possess major drawbacks. Fluorescence depolarization (FD) ${ }^{2}$ and depolarized light scattering ${ }^{3}$ require transparent media. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) "spin labeling" 4 and FD require introduction of an artificial reporter group, linked by one or more flexible bonds to the macromolecule. EPR line-shape analysis is complicated by inhomogeneous (nitrogen hyperfine coupling) broadening, nitrogen nuclear relaxation, and $\mathbf{g}$-tensor nonaxial symmetry. ${ }^{5}$ NMR relaxation time analysis is complicated by competing relaxation pathways, ${ }^{6,7}$ internuclear distance uncertainty, ${ }^{8}$ pulse imperfections, and multiexponential relaxation. ${ }^{9,10}$

In contrast, time-resolved emission anisotropy from a perturbed $\gamma-\gamma$ angular correlation (PAC) experiment can provide direct determination of $\tau_{\text {rot }},{ }^{11-16}$ in opaque media (even in vivo ${ }^{17}$ ), at $10^{-12} \mathrm{M}$, without flexible and/or bulky reporter groups. Unfortunately, previous PAC determinations of $\tau_{\text {rot }}$ have been complicated by multiple $\tau_{\text {rot }}$ processes. ${ }^{14-16}$ In this communication, we report the PAC results from the successful reconstitution of indium-111 mesoprotoporphyrin IX, In-MPP (see ref 18 for synthesis), into apomyoglobin by the procedure of Srivastava ${ }^{19}$ to give a singly labeled protein with unique conformation and chemical form. ${ }^{20}$

The crystal and molecular structure of the closely related tetraphenylporphinatoindium(III) chloride ${ }^{21}$ strongly suggests that In-MPP-myoglobin should be isostructural with the Fe (II) heme in deoxymyoglobin or deoxyhemoglobin, because the indium atom is displaced above the mean porphyrin plane by the same distance ( $0.6 \AA$ ) as is Fe (II) in the native proteins.

Table I. Measured and Calculated Properties of Native and Reconstituted Myoglobin

| mol <br> wt | $T,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | viscosity, cP | $D_{\operatorname{tr}\left(20^{\circ}, \mathrm{w}\right)}$ | $\begin{gathered} r, \AA, \text { from } \\ \text { eq } 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | eq 1 | eq $2^{\text {a }}$ | $\frac{\tau_{\text {rot }}, \text { ns }}{\text { fluorescence }}$ | $\mathrm{PAC}^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(\omega_{0} / 2 \pi\right), \\ \mathrm{MHz} \end{gathered}$ | $\delta^{b}$ | quadrupolar asymmetry parameter ${ }^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17000 | 25 | $0.0089^{\circ}$ |  |  | 4.5 | 6.2 | $8.3{ }^{e}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 17000 | 20 | $0.0100^{\text {c }}$ | $11.3 \times 10^{-7 d}$ | 19.0 | 5.1 | 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17000 | 12 | $0.0128^{f}$ |  |  | 6.5 | 9.4 | 11.98 | $16_{-2}^{+3}$ | $25 \pm 3^{h}$ | $0.42 \pm 0.04{ }^{i}$ | $0.00 \pm 0.01^{j}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Molecular radius is assumed constant with temperature. ${ }^{b}$ This work. ${ }^{c}$ Water. ${ }^{d}$ "Handbook of Biochemistry-Selected Data for Molecular
 \& Calculated from value at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, assuming constant molecular radius. ${ }^{h} 36 \pm 4 \mathrm{MHz}$ for solid sample. ${ }^{i} 0.37 \pm 0.03$ for solid sample. ${ }^{j} 0.01$ $\pm 0.01$ for solid sample.

In any case, the PAC $\tau_{\text {rot }}$ should reflect rotational motion at the central metal atom, the first such probe for a heme protein.

PAC apparatus, measurements, and data reduction are as reported in ref 14 . The time dependence of the perturbation factor, $G_{2}(t)$, is fitted to an adiabatic motional model, ${ }^{12}$ assuming nonaxial electric field gradient (represented by a quadrupolar asymmetry parameter), a finite spread in quadrupole frequency (characterized by Gaussian distribution parameter, $\delta$ ), with correction for solid angle effects and finite instrumental time resolution. The results are shown in Figure 1 and summarized in Table I.

Two features demonstrate self-consistency in the PAC analysis. First, the quadrupolar asymmetry parameter is found to be 0 , as expected for the square pyramidal metalloporphyrin geometry. ${ }^{21}$ Second, the nonmotional parameters (last three columns of Table I) are similar for solution and solid samples.

The two basic ways for computing $\tau_{\text {rot }}$ (for comparison to the 16 -ns experimental PAC value) derive from the StokesEinstein relation ${ }^{22}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{rot}}=V \eta / k T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $V$ is molecular volume, $\eta$ is viscosity, $k$ is Boltzmann's constant, and $T$ is absolute temperature. In the first method, molecular volume is computed directly from the molecular weight $M$ and the partial specific volume $\bar{v}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{rot}}=\left(\bar{v} M / N_{0}\right)(\eta / k T) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{0}$ is Avogadro's number (see Table I, column 6). In the second method, molecular radius, $r$, is computed from the translational diffusion coefficient, $D_{\mathrm{tr}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=k T / 6 \pi \eta D_{\mathrm{tr}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the molecular volume ( $4 \pi r^{3} / 3$ ) substituted into eq 1 (see Table I, column 7). The second method is probably more accurate, since it incorporates (via $D_{\mathrm{tr}}$ ) any additional water of hydration bound to the macromolecular surface.

The $16-\mathrm{ns} \tau_{\text {rot }}$ value determined from PAC is larger than that calculated from eq 3, possibly because (a) myoglobin may be nonspherical in solution ${ }^{13,23}$ and (b) the calculated value was obtained by extrapolation from 20 to $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ assuming constant $r$, whereas $r$ may well increase at lower temperature from increased hydration (a $21 \%$ increase would account for the discrepancy). In corroboration of these notions, the FD value is also larger than that calculated from eq 3, and the difference between the PAC and FD $\tau_{\text {rot }}$ values may reflect a different rate of rotational diffusion of the principal axis for each interaction. (The fluorescent axis likely lies in the macrocyclic plane of the fluorescent label, while the PAC axis should be perpendicular to the porphyrin plane.)

Future experiments with the $397-\mathrm{keV}{ }^{111 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Cd}}$ nucleus will establish the importance of aftereffects of electron capture from the ${ }^{111} \mathrm{In}$ to ${ }^{111} \mathrm{Cd}$ transition. ${ }^{24}$ The present preliminary results should, however, suffice to demonstrate the potential


Figure 1, Perturbation factors and associated best theoretical fits for $\ln$ -MPP-myoglobin: top, solid; bottom, solution ( 0.05 M phosphate, pH 7.0 ). $T=12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Time scale is $0.69 \mathrm{~ns} /$ channel.
value of PAC measurements for determination of macromolecular rotational correlation times.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank Professor D. E. Brooks for providing viscosity measurements at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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## Structures of Novel Anthracycline Antitumor Antibiotics from Micromonospora peucetica

Sir:
In our continuing search for new natural ${ }^{1,2}$ and semisynthetic ${ }^{3}$ analogues of the useful anticancer drugs daunorubicin (1a) ${ }^{4}$ and doxorubicin (1b), ${ }^{5}$ we have examined the fermen-


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1a: } R_{1}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3} \\
& \text { 3: } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \quad 4: \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CHOHCH}_{3} \\
& \text { : } R_{1}=H_{;} R_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3} \quad 5: R_{1}=H ; R_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

tation broths of Micromonospora peucetica n . sp . This has given an anthracycline complex whose glycosidic constituents represent a novel structural class within the family of doxorubicin related anthracyclines. In this communication we report the isolation and structure determination of the new, biologically activé anthracyclines 11 -deoxydaunorubicin (2), 11-deoxydoxorubicin (3), I1-deoxy-13-dihydrodaunorubicin (4), and 11-deoxy-13-deoxodaunorubicin (5).

Purification of the anthracycline complex ( 6 g ), isolated in the usual way, ${ }^{2}$ on a silica gel column ${ }^{6}$ gave $2(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{9} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}^{7}, \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{175-176}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{dec},[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+139^{\circ}\right), 3$ $(0.6 \mathrm{~g})\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{10} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{mp} 171-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec},[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}\right.$ $\left.+111^{\circ}\right), 4(0.2 \mathrm{~g})\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{9} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{mp} 163-164^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}\right.$, $\left.[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+107^{\circ}\right)$, and $5(0.2 \mathrm{~g})\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{142-146}\right.$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$ ).

The UV and visible spectra $\left[\lambda_{\max }(\mathrm{MeOH}) 228,260,418\right.$ nm ] suggested the presence of the same hydroxyanthraquinone chromophore in all four compounds, ${ }^{8}$ while the IR ( KBr ) indicated the presence ${ }^{9}$ of both nonhydrogen bonded ( 1670 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and hydrogen bonded ( $1625 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) quinone carbonyl groups and an additional carbonyl function in $2\left(1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $3\left(1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.

Mild acid hydrolysis ( $0.2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) of the four glycosides afforded the same amino sugar, identified as daunosamine ${ }^{10}$ by direct comparison with an authentic sample, and four aglycones differing only in the side chain. Acid hydrolysis of 2 yielded the aglycone 6: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{7} ; \mathrm{mp}$ 213-215


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{6}: R_{1}=C H_{3}, \quad R_{2}=R_{4}=H, R_{3}=O H \\
& \underline{7}: R_{1}=C H_{3}, R_{2}=H, R_{3}=O A C, R_{4}=A C \\
& \underline{8}: R_{1}=C H_{3}, R_{2}=R_{3}=O H, R_{4}=H \\
& \underline{9}: R_{1}=C H_{3}, R_{2}=R_{3}=R_{4}=H \\
& \underline{10}: R_{1}=R_{2}=R_{4}=H, R_{3}=O H
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $1710(\mathrm{CO}), 1670$ and $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (quinone bands); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOCH}_{3}$ ), 5.33 (br, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), $7.25-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $13.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOH})$. Upon acetylation ( $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyr), 6 gave the corresponding tri- $O$-acetyl derivative 7: $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{10}$; mp $120-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR ( KBr ) 1775 (phenolic Ac), 1735-1725 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ (aliphatic Ac and CO ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.05$ and 2.25 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{C}-9 \mathrm{OAc}$, and $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ), 2.48 ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOAc}$ ), 6.45 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), $7.30-8.00$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ). This confirmed the presence of one phenolic OH and two OH 's on the alicyclic ring.

Thus the chemical and spectral properties of 6 , which indicated the presence of an anthraquinone chromophore bearing both an OH and an $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$, and an alicyclic ring with one acetyl group and two OH's, showed a close relationship to daunomycinone (8). ${ }^{4}$ Furthermore Zn dust distillation of 6 and 8 gave the same benz[a]anthracene, establishing a linear tetracyclic system in 6.

Catalytic hydrogenolysis ( $5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) of 2 afforded daunosamine and a new aglycone 9: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{6} ; \mathrm{mp}$ 186-189 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $1710(\mathrm{CO}), 1670$ and $1625 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (quinone bands); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, 4.02 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOCH}_{3}$ ), 7.10-7.90 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 13.60 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOH})$. This showed that the sugar moiety was attached to a benzylic position. Compound 9 can also be obtained by catalytic hydrogenolysis of $6\left(5 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}\right.$, dioxane, 1 h$)$.

Demethylation ( $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) of $\mathbf{6}$ yielded $\mathbf{1 0}$ : $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{7} ; \mathrm{mp} 140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ), $5.30(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-7), 7.25-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 11.87$ and 12.57 (two s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOH}$ ). The presence in the IR ( KBr ) of $\mathbf{1 0}$ of nonhydrogen-bonded ( $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and hydrogenbonded ( $1620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) quinone carbonyl groups confirmed ${ }^{10}$ that the methoxy and hydroxy substituents had to both be peri to the same quinone carbonyl group.

We next addressed the substitution pattern and the stereochemistry of ring A. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 6, when compared with those of daunomycinone (8), 4, 11 indicated the presence of a quaternary carbon bearing hydroxyl and acetyl groups. The cis configuration of the two aliphatic OH 's was shown by the preparation from 6 $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, p\right.$ - TsOH , dioxane, 72 h$]$ of the corresponding $O$-isopropylidene derivative 11: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{7} ; \mathrm{mp} 84-88$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.18$ and 1.55 (two s, 6 H , $\left.>\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 4.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOCH}_{3}\right)$, $7.25-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 13.10(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOH})$. Acetylation of $\mathbf{1 1}$ yielded a mono- $O$-acetyl derivative (12): $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8} ; \mathrm{mp}$ $157-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 1770 (ArOAc), $1710(\mathrm{CO}), 1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (quinone band); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.09$ and 1.42 (two s, 6 $\left.\mathrm{H},>\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.38$ and $2.50\left(\right.$ two s, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOAc}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, $4.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.25(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-7), 7.25-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, ArH). When 12 was subjected to mild acid hydrolysis $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}\right]$ it gave 13: $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{8} ; \mathrm{mp}$

